#### United States Department of Agriculture.

BUREAU OF CHEMISTRY.

W. G. CAMPBELL, Acting Chief of Bureau.

# SERVICE AND REGULATORY ANNOUNCEMENTS. SUPPLEMENT.

N. J. 10101-10150.

[Approved by the Acting Secretary of Agriculture, Washington, D. C., April 29, 1922.]

#### NOTICES OF JUDGMENT UNDER THE FOOD AND DRUGS ACT.

[Given pursuant to section 4 of the Food and Drugs Act.]

10101. Adulteration of milk. U. S. \* \* \* v. Mitchell W. Beard. Plea of guilty. Fine, \$200. (F. & D. No. 635-c.)

On April 20, 1921, the United States attorney for the District of Columbia, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the Police Court of the District aforesaid an information against Mitchell W. Beard, Washington, D. C., alleging shipment by sa d defendant, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, on April 12, 1921, from Gaithersburg, in the State of Maryland, into the District of Columbia, of a quantity of m.lk which was adulterated.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the information for the reason that a certain substance, to wit, water, had been mixed and packed therewith so as to reduce and lower and injuriously affect its quality.

On April 20, 1921, the defendant entered a plea of guilty to the information, and the court imposed a fine of \$200.

C. W. Pugsley, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

## 10102. Adulteration of bologna. U. S. \* \* \* v. Fred A. Spicer and Charles H. Leavell (Joseph Phillips & Co.). Collateral of \$50 forfeited. (F. & D. No. 636-c.)

On April 20, 1921, the United States attorney for the District of Columbia, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the Police Court of the District aforesaid an information against Fred A. Spicer and Charles H. Leavell, partners, trading as Joseph Phillips & Co., Washington, D. C., alleging that on March 30, 1921, the said defendants did offer for sale and sell in the District of Columbia, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, a quantity of bologna which was adulterated.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the information for the reason that a certain substance, to wit, an excessive amount of cereal, had been mixed and packed therewith so as to reduce and lower and injuriously affect its quality.

On April 21, 1921, the defendants having failed to enter an appearance, the \$50 collateral which had been deposited by them to insure their appearance was declared forfeited by the court.

C. W. Pugsley, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

#### 10103. Adulteration of milk. U. S. \* \* \* v. Paul Kokalis. Plea of guilty. Fine, \$50. (F. & D. No. 637-c.)

On April 21, 1921, the United States attorney for the District of Columbia, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the Police Court of the District aforesaid an information against Paul Kokalis, Wushington, D. C., alleging that on April 8, 1921, the said defendant did offer for sale and

sell in the District of Columbia, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, a quantity of milk which was adulterated.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the information for the reason that a certain valuable constituent thereof, to wit, butter fat, had been wholly or in part abstracted therefrom.

On April 21, 1921, the defendant entered a plea of guilty to the information, and the court imposed a fine of \$50.

C. W. Pugsley, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

#### 10104. Adulteration of milk. U. S. \* \* \* v. John Karas. Plea of guilty. Fine, \$25. (F. & D. No. 638-c.)

On July 6, 1921, the United States attorney for the District of Columbia, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the Police Court of the District aforesaid an information against John Karas, Washington, D. C., alleging that on June 15, 1921, the said defendant did offer for sale and sell in the District of Columbia, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, a quantity of milk which was adulterated.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the information for the reason that a valuable constituent thereof, to wit, butter fat, had been wholly or in part abstracted therefrom, thus lowering its quality and strength.

On July 6, 1921, the defendant entered a plea of guilty to the information, and the court imposed a fine of \$25.

C. W. Pugsley, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

#### 10105. Adulteration of milk. U. S. \* \* \* v. George Donnis. Plea of guilty. Fine, \$25. (F. & D. No. 639-c.)

On July 6, 1921, the United States attorney for the District of Columbia, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the Police Court of the District aforesaid an information against Geo. Donnis, Washington, D. C., alleging that on June 15, 1921, the said defendant did offer for sale and sell in the District of Columbia, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, a quantity of milk which was adulterated.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the information for the reason that a valuable constituent thereof, to wit, butter fat, had been wholly or in part abstracted therefrom, thus lowering its quality and strength.

On July 6, 1921, the defendant entered a plea of guilty to the information, and the court imposed a fine of \$25.

C. W. Pugsley, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

### 10106. Adulteration of butter. U. S. \* \* \* v. Harry Lambros. Plea of guilty. Fine, \$25. (F. & D. No. 640-c.)

On July 11, 1921, the United States attorney for the District of Columbia, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the Police Court of the District aforesaid an information against Harry Lambros, Washington, D. C., alleging that on June 15, 1921, the said defendant did offer for sale and sell in the District of Columbia, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, a quantity of butter which was adulterated.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the information for the reason that a certain valuable constituent thereof, to wit, butter fat, had been wholly or in part abstracted therefrom.

On July 11, 1921, the defendant entered a plea of guilty to the information, and the court imposed a fine of \$25.

C. W. Pugsley, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

#### 10107. Adulteration of mackerel. U. S. \* \* \* v. Abraham M. Harris. Plea of guilty. Fine, \$25. (F. & D. No. 641-c.)

On July 13, 1921, the United States attorney for the District of Columbia, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the Police Court of the District aforesaid an information against Abraham M. Harris, Washington, D. C., alleging that on June 13, 1921, the said defendant did offer for sale and sell in the District of Columbia, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, a quantity of mackerel which was adulterated.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the information for the reason that it contained added deleterious substances which rendered the same unfit for human consumption.

On July 13, 1921, the defendant entered a plea of guilty to the information, and the court imposed a fine of \$25.

C. W. Pugsley, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.